## **rr** whiffle

#### Model thy neighbours, but not too expensively!

A multi-scale atmospheric modelling framework to capture internal and external wakes

Peter Baas & Whiffle Team

### Why?

- It's getting crowded offshore!
- Real-weather, mesoscale coupled large-eddy simulation (LES) model
- How to account for neighbouring external wind farms?
- Balancing accuracy with cost
- How large should the LES domain be with respect to the wind farm of interest??





## Setup of the study (1 / 2)

Aim: investigate sensitivity to LES domain size

- Take IJVer-IV site as a case study (67 turbines of 15 MW, ~1GW)
- Take 4 LES domain sizes at 100m resolution (LES-S 20km, LES-M 30km, LES-L 50km, LES-XL 100km)
- All nested in mesoscale simulation (500km, including all wind farms), which is nested in ERA5
- 100 days, selected from 2023 were simulated

**rr** whiffle



### Setup of study (2 / 2)

3 wind farm configurations were simulated



**rr** whiffle

#### LES model in action: 16 Jan 2023

#### 2023011601h UTC



150m Wind speed [m/s]

LES-XL (100km)

**rr** whiffle

2023011601h UTC





LES-M (30km)

CONFIDENTIAL



- Example real-weather LES
- Passage of small-scale low- pressure area
- LES-XL and LES-M both (independently) nested in Meso

4

#### Results. Mean 150 m (hub height) wind speed

For *Meso*, *LES-S*, *LES-M*, *LES-L*, and *LES-XL* All LES domains are directly nested in *Meso* 



## Wake effect w.r.t. free-stream wind (zoom on LES-XL)

Qualitatively, the difference in velocity deficits is small



**rr** whiffle

# Wake effect w.r.t. free-stream wind (zoom on LES-S)

Zooming-in to the *LES-S* domain illustrates the difference between 2 km *Meso* grid and the 100 m *LES* grid



**rr** whiffle

#### West-east cross-section half-way the domain



- Wakes in *Meso* are too shallow
- Wakes in the different LES domains are close together

#### **rr** whiffle



• Production losses from *Meso* are too low (consistent with wind patterns)

Internal, external, and total losses

• Differences between LES domain sizes are small, especially when considering total losses

#### **rr** whiffle

LES-M

LES-L

LES-S LES-M LES-L

#### Spatial gradients of internal losses for the isolated case





**rr** whiffle

#### Pattern of Production (isolated case)

For each turbine & time step calculate the power (Ptur) divided by mean power of all turbines for that timestep (<Ptur>)

- Indicates how spatial patterns are represented
- Here: comparison of Meso and LES-S versus
  LES-XL





#### First-row losses (blockage) of the isolated case

• First-row losses as proxy for global blockage

#### Recipe

- Define direction dependent mask of first-row turbines from wind farm layout
- Determine first-row turbines per time record
- Accumulate first-row losses w.r.t. freestream over time



Example first-row mask for arbitrary directions

#### **rr** whiffle



LES first-row losses do not depend on domain size. *Meso* has larger value.

#### Conclusions

- Mesoscale simulation captures cumulative wake effects well, provides accurate boundary conditions for the LES. Internal wake effects are underestimated and smeared-out.
- LES offers accurate representation of wind farm dynamics. Small to moderate domain sizes suffice.
- LES provides
  - Accurate internal wakes / wake losses
  - First-row (or blockage) losses
  - Spatial gradients in production
  - (Turbulence intensity)

**rr** whiffle









CONFIDENTIAL

**rr** whiffle

 Snapshots 150m wind fields and turbulence intensity (10 min mean values) from 16 Jan 2023

• For LES-M and LES-XL